[Exempt From Filing Fee Government Code § 6103]

1 2	DANIEL J. O'HANLON, State Bar No. 122380 dohanlon@kmtg.com WILLIAM T. CHISUM, State Bar No. 142580 wchisum@kmtg.com	
3	KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & Gl 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor	IRARD
4	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 321-4500	
5	Facsimile: (916) 321-4555	
6	DOUGLAS S. BROWN, State Bar No. 123807 dbrown@sycr.com	
7	SEAN D. WILLET, State Bar No. 313521 swillet@sycr.com	
8	STRADLÍNG YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600	
9	Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (949) 725-4000	
0	Facsimile: (949) 725-4100	
1	JON RUBIN, State Bar No. 196944 jrubin@wwd.ca.gov	
2	General Counsel, Westlands Water District 400 Capitol Mall, 28 th Floor	
3	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 321-4207	
4	Facsimile: (559) 241-6277	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT	
6		IE STATE OF CALIEODNIA
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF FRESNO	O, CENTRAL DIVISION
9	WEGTI ANDOWATED DIGTRICT	G N- 100E000207
20	WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT, a California Water District,	Case No. 19CECG03887
21	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED]
22	V.	VALIDATION JUDGMENT
23	ALL PERSONS INTERESTED IN THE	
24	MATTER OF THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND WESTLANDS	
25	WATER DISTRICT PROVIDING FOR PROJECT WATER SERVICE, SAN LUIS	
26	UNIT AND DELTA DIVISION AND FACILITIES REPAYMENT,	
27	Defendants.	
28		I

1893867.3 2010-100

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Westlands Water District's ("District") Complaint for Validation Judgment seeking a validation judgment, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 860, *et seq.*, as to the "Contract Between the United States and Westlands Water District Providing for Project Water Service, San Luis Unit and Delta Division and Facilities Repayment" which the District's Board of Directors, on October 15, 2019, approved in substantially the form presented to it and authorized its delivery as set forth in District Resolution No. 119-19 ("Converted Contract"). The Court having reviewed the pleadings, the supporting papers, the opposition papers, if any, and the other papers and pleadings on file in this action, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This action is properly brought under Code of Civil Procedure sections 860, *et seq.*, Water Code sections 35407, 35408 and 35855, and Government Code sections 53510, *et seq.*, and is a proper procedure to determine the validity of the Converted Contract and all of the other proceedings described in the Complaint.
- 2. That the District is a California water district, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the California Water District Law (Division 13 of the California Water Code), lying within the counties of Fresno and Kings, State of California, and is a public agency of the State of California.
- 3. That all persons having, or claiming to have, any interest in the Converted Contract and the proceedings leading up to and including the making and approval of said Converted Contract, and the validity of the provisions thereof, and any landowner or water user in said District and any interested person, have each and all been duly served with process and summoned to appear and answer the complaint of the District herein by the publication of the Summons pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 861 and Government Code section 6063, and other means found reasonably practicable by the Court, all in compliance with the law and pursuant to the order of this Court; that said publication was for the time and in the form and manner in all respects as required by law; and that the time for answer has since expired.

1	4. (a) That the Converted Contract, as attached hereto as Exhibit A, and each and every	
2	provision of said Converted Contract, is valid under applicable California law; (b) that the District	
3	has, and at all times relevant has had, the authority to enter into said Converted Contract under	
4	California Water District Law, including Water Code sections 35851 and 35875; (c) that all of the	
5	proceedings of the District and its Board of Directors leading up to and including the making and	
6	approval of said Converted Contract were in all respects legal and valid and the same are hereby	
7	approved and confirmed; (d) that said Converted Contract is in all respects valid under applicable	
8	California law and binding upon the respective parties thereto; and (e) that said Converted Contract,	
9	and each and every provision thereof, is, and are, in all respects valid and authorized by applicable	
10	California law.	
11	5. That this judgment is and shall be forever binding and conclusive as to all matters	
12	herein adjudicated and as to all matters which could have been adjudicated herein, against the	
13	District and against all other parties to this action.	
14	6. That each and every person is hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from	
15	instituting any action or proceeding raising any issues as to which this judgment is binding and	
16	conclusive pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 870.	
17		
18	Dated:	
19		
20	Superior Court Judge	
21	Superior Court Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1893867.3 2010-100	

VALIDATION JUDGEMENT